UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,431	12/10/2003	Kenji Kurata	606402015100	5131
	7590 06/06/200 FOERSTER LLP	EXAMINER		
	BOULEVARD	CAZAN, LIVIUS RADU		
SUITE 400 MCLEAN, VA	22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/731,431	KURATA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LIVIUS R. CAZAN	3729				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Fe	bruary 2008					
	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
		0 0.0. 2.0.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4 and 6-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,6 and 10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· ·						
and casi, control and an analysis of the casi, control and an						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • •	, ,				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The camer accordance to aspected to asy the Ext	animon recentle anached office	7,00,017,017,107,102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	 -	(DTO 440)				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on 2/19/2008 has been fully considered and made of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 6, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kano (US5539977) in view of Yoriki (US6640431) and Suhara (US7043824).

As discussed in the Office Actions mailed on 3/23/2007 and 10/16/2007, Kano discloses substantially the same invention as the Applicant, including a component feeding unit (7, Fig. 2), a suction nozzle (14, Fig. 4), and a line sensor sensing a vertical position of the lower end of the nozzle (27, Fig. 4; see col. 5, Ins. 59-64). Kano also discloses determining a range of vertical movement of the suction nozzle based on the vertical position of the lower end of the suction nozzle measured by the position sensor (see In. 65 of col. 7 to In. 3 of col. 8).

However, the line sensor of Kano is located such that the sensing operation takes place after picking up a component, not before the nozzle performs a vertical movement for picking up a component.

Suhara discloses imaging the end of a suction nozzle to detect wear and adjusting the vertical movement stroke of the nozzle to compensate for the wear of the sucking end face so as to reduce the amount of impact between the nozzle and the

component at the component supply (pickup) position (see col. 37, lns 33-65). However, the nozzle is imaged after a component pickup, before mounting the component.

Yoriki discloses a component mounting apparatus whereby a line sensor images a nozzle for the purpose of detecting a faulty nozzle such as a bent or missing nozzle (see In. 50 of col. 37 to In. 56 of col. 38). This operation is performed either before or after a vertical movement for mounting or picking up a component (see In. 60 of col. 29 to In. 4 of col. 30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Kano, in view of the teachings of Yoriki and Suhara to obtain a component mounting apparatus utilizing a line sensor to measure a vertical position of a nozzle as claimed, this measuring being performed at least after mounting a component but prior to picking up a next component, if not also before a vertical movement for mounting a component. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so because problems with a suction nozzle can appear at any point during the mounting cycle, not necessarily only after picking up a component but prior to placing the component on a substrate, and thus it would be obvious to add sensors that can detect a faulty or worn nozzle at other times as well, such as after mounting a component but prior to picking up the next component.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kano, Yoriki, and Suhara in view of Takeuchi (US5661239).

Kano, Yoriki, and Suhara disclose the same invention as the Applicant, except for a decision device judging that the suction nozzle is about to fall when the vertical

position of the lower end of the suction nozzle measured by the position sensor is lower than a predetermined position.

Takeuchi teaches a judging device (se col. 7, Ins. 55-60; clearly a decision device exists, since the apparatus is stopped due to the detection of a low nozzle) which judges that a suction nozzle is about to fall when the vertical position of the lower end of the suction nozzle is lower than a predetermined position. Takeuchi also teaches an optical sensor could be utilized (see col. 8, Ins. 10-20).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Kano, Yoriki, and Suhara, in view of the teachings of Takeuchi, providing it with a judging device which utilizes the output of the position sensor to judge a suction nozzle is about to fall if its lower end is lower than a predetermined position. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so since the sensor of Kano, Yoriki, and Suhara already tracks the lower end of the nozzle and therefore no new sensors would be required. A system thus implemented would allow the apparatus to detect a nozzle that is about to fall, in order to prevent improper operation.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIVIUS R. CAZAN whose telephone number is (571)272-8032. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571)272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,431 Page 6

Art Unit: 3729

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. Dexter Tugbang/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3729

/L. R. C./ 6/4/2008 Examiner, Art Unit 3729